Case 23-40709 Doc 85 Filed 02/07/24 Entered 02/07/24 16:16:19 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 6

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

	)	
In re:	)	Chapter 7, No. 23-40709
	)	**
WESTBOROUGH SPE LLC	)	
	)	
Debtor	)	
	)	

# TRUSTEE'S OPPOSITION TO TOWN OF WESTBOROUGH'S MOTION TO DISMISS BANKRUPTCY CASE

NOW comes JONATHAN R. GOLDSMITH, the duly appointed, qualified and acting Trustee in the above-captioned case ("Trustee"), and hereby files this Opposition to the Town of Westborough's Motion to Dismiss this Bankruptcy Case. In support of this Opposition, the Trustee submits the following:

1. To distill the Town ("Town") of Westborough's argument contained in its 17 page Motion to Dismiss ("Motion") to its simplest position, the Town asserts that "cause" exists to dismiss this involuntary bankruptcy case under 11 U.S.C. §707(a) since it alleged that the case was filed in bad faith¹. The Town contends that the filing thwarted its attempt to complete a tax foreclosure sale of the Debtor's real estate located 231 Turnpike Road, Westborough, Massachusetts ("Property"). The Town has acknowledged that the price that it seeks to sell the Property for is less than other bids it received for the acquisition. Proceeding with a sale of the Property for less than its fair value, would no doubt be detrimental to the Debtor (and therefore the Bankruptcy Estate) as the Trustee contends that the Debtor is entitled to the surplus proceeds after payment of the Town's claim in light of the Supreme Court's recent decision in *Tyler v*. *Hennepin County*, 598 U.S. 631 (2023). Contrary to the Town's contentions, the filing of the bankruptcy case was not an abuse or manipulation of the Bankruptcy Code, but rather done to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> The Town tries to label the alleged basis for dismissal as "egregious conduct". This is a veiled attempt to get around using the words "bad faith". Although there is no First Circuit Appeal Court decision as to whether "bad faith" is "cause" for dismissal under §707(a), there are decisions from bankruptcy judges in this District that have concluded that "bad faith" is not "cause" for dismissal. These cases are noted in the body of this Opposition.

Case 23-40709 Doc 85 Filed 02/07/24 Entered 02/07/24 16:16:19 Desc Main Document Page 2 of 6

ensure the full recovery of the Debtor's assets and the rightful distribution of proceeds under the auspices of the Bankruptcy Court system.

- 2. In addition to the foregoing, the Trustee submits that the Motion contains several pages of disputed facts, including allegations that the efforts of a Denise Edwards ("Edwards") and a Lolonyon Akouete ("Akouete") to retrieve the Debtor's assets constitutes "cause" for dismissal of the Bankruptcy case under Bankruptcy Code provision §707(a). This argument fails for several reasons, including the following:
  - (i) As the Town admits in the Motion, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the First Circuit has yet to decide whether bad faith constitutes "cause" for grounds to dismiss a bankruptcy case under 11 U.S.C. §707(a). The Circuits that have considered bad faith as "cause" for dismissal under this provision have not been consistent. See <a href="Inre: Linehan">Inre: Linehan</a> 326 B.R. 474 (Bankr. D. Mass 2005) (Feeney, J). However, at least two Massachusetts bankruptcy judges have concluded that bad faith is not "cause" for dismissal of a Chapter 7 case. In the case of <a href="Inre: Reinhold, Mark G">In Re: Reinhold, Mark G</a>. (case #15-10976-FJB), Judge Bailey has followed the courts that hold that bad faith is not "cause" within §707(a) (see proceeding Memorandum/Order entered as Docket entry #140). See also <a href="Inre: Linehan">In Re: Linehan</a> 326 B.R. 475, where Judge Feeney stated that bad faith does not generally constitute grounds for dismissal of a Chapter 7 case. See <a href="Inre: Linehan">Inre: Linehan</a>, 362 B.R. 480.
  - (ii) The Town cites cases from other jurisdictions that have concluded that "egregious conduct" could warrant dismissal. However, the Trustee submits that the facts alleged in the Motion, even if true, are not of the kind that rise to the level of "egregious conduct" as noted in the cases cited.
  - (iii) It should also be highlighted that this bankruptcy case was initiated by two of the Debtor's creditors under 11 U.S.C. §363, and not filed as a

Case 23-40709 Doc 85 Filed 02/07/24 Entered 02/07/24 16:16:19 Desc Main Document Page 3 of 6

voluntary bankruptcy petition. Therefore, the Town's argument that Akouete and Edwards lacked authority on behalf of the Debtor has no bearing in this case as they did not commence the case. Even if it was determined that these individuals did not have authority to act on behalf of the Debtor and therefore the retention of Nathanson & Goldberg, P.S. somehow disqualifies this party from being a petitioning creditor, the other petitioning creditor, MobileStreet Trust had standing to individually commence the involuntary petition pursuant to 11 U.S.C. §303(b)(2).

- (iv) The Trustee further submits that this Motion should be denied on the grounds that it is untimely and dismissal of the case would be detrimental and prejudicial to the creditors and other parties to this Bankruptcy Estate. Specifically, the facts alleged by the Town occurred pre-petition and should have been raised at or before the Order for Relief was entered. The involuntary petition was filed on August 31, 2023, and the Order for Relief was entered on October 11, 2023. Essentially, this Motion was filed four and one half (4 ½) months after the involuntary petition was filed, which notice of the filing was timely provided to the Town. Dismissal of the case would be improper after these many months and after the Trustee has undertaken extensive efforts to administer this case, including being at the tail end of recovering over 1.2 million dollars of funds for the benefit of the Bankruptcy Estate from the Controller of the State of California.
- (v) Finally, the Trustee submits that by the Town's own admission, the eventual sale of the Property will be more than sufficient to satisfy its claim. As a result, these surplus funds would be available for distribution to the Bankruptcy Estate/Debtor. To the extent that the Town is concerned regarding who actually holds the beneficial interest in the Debtor, this will be addressed when and if there are funds available for distribution back to the Debtor after all allowed claims of the creditors and

administrative fees and expenses are satisfied. In such event, it is reasonable to assume that the determination of the equity owner of the Debtor will not be the Town's concern.

- 3. In light of the foregoing, the Trustee submits that the Motion should be denied.
- 4. The Trustee reserves the right to supplement this Opposition after additional facts are garnered.

WHEREFORE, the Trustee requests the entry of the following Orders:

- 1. That the Motion filed by the Town of Westborough to Dismiss the Bankruptcy Case be denied; and
  - 2. For such other and further relief as is just and proper.

JONATHAN R. GOLDSMITH, TRUSTEE IN BANKRUPTCY FOR WESTBOROUGH SPE LLC

Dated: 2/7/24

By: /s/ Jonathan R. Goldsmith, Esq.

JONATHAN R. GOLDSMITH, ESQ. (BBO No. 548285) GOLDSMITH, KATZ & ARGENIO, P.C. 1350 Main Street, 15th Floor Springfield, MA 01103

Tel: (413) 747-0700

Case 23-40709 Doc 85 Filed 02/07/24 Entered 02/07/24 16:16:19 Desc Main Document Page 5 of 6

## UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

In re:	) Chapter 7, No. 23-407	709
WESTBOROUGH SPE LLC	)	
Debtor	)	
	)	

#### **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I, JONATHAN R. GOLDSMITH, ESQ., of GOLDSMITH, KATZ & ARGENIO, P.C., 1350 Main Street, 15th Floor, Springfield, MA 01103, do hereby certify that I served a copy of the within Opposition upon those parties listed on the attached Exhibit "A" by electronic mail or by mailing, first class mail, postage prepaid, on this  $7^{2h}$  day of February, 2024:

/s/ Jonathan R. Goldsmith, Esq.
JONATHAN R. GOLDSMITH, ESQ.

### Case 23-40709 Doc 85 Filed 02/07/24 Entered 02/07/24 16:16:19 Desc Main Document Page 6 of 6

David M. Ferris Ferris Development Group, LLC 118 Turnpike Rd., Ste. 300 Southborough, MA 01772-2133

Internal Revenue Service P.O. Box 7346 Philadelphia, PA 19101-7346 Ferris Development Group, LLC c/o Paul W. Carey, Esq. Mirick O'Connell 100 Front Street Worcester, MA 01608-1425

Westborough SPE LLC 231 Turnpike Road Westborough, MA 01581-2807 Scott A. Schlager, Esq. Nathanson & Goldberg, P.C. 183 State Street, 5th Floor Boston, MA 02109-2666

Darin Clagg 24 Kobbs Korner Rd Pine Bush, NY 12566-5302

LOLONYON AKOUETE ATTN WESTBOROUGH SPE LLC 800 RED MILLS RD WALLKILL, NY 12589-3220 Allen Hight The MobileStreet Trust 12 Cole Road Wayland, MA 01778-3145 Richard King, Esq. Office of US. Trustee 446 Main Street, 14th Floor Worcester, MA 01608-2361

The MobileStreet Trust 12 Cole Road Wayland, MA 01778-3145 Matthew A. Morris Sherin and Lodgen LLP 101 Federal Street, 30th Floor Boston, MA 02110-2109 Walter Horst Babcock & Brown 1264 Rimer Drive Moraga, CA 94556-1727

Town of Westborough 34 West Main Street Westborough, MA 01581-1998 Nathanson & Goldberg, P.C. c/o Stephen F. Gordon, Esq. The Gordon Law Firm LLP 57 River Street Wellesley, MA 02481

Denise Edwards 137 North 25th Street Wyandanch, NY 11798-2002

The MobileStreet Trust 225 Turnpike Road Westborough, MA 01581-2807